

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/766,991 | 01/29/2004 | Harsh Pramod Oke | 135699 | 5008 |
| John S. Beulick | 7590 08/10/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| Armstrong Tea | | | . LUU, CUONG V | |
| Suite 2600 One Metropolit | an Square | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER . |
| St. Louis, MO | | | 2128 | |
| | | | | |
| | · | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/10/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/766,991 | OKE, HARSH PRAMOD | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Cuong V. Luu | 2128 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju | <u>une 2007</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-11,13-16,18 and 19 is/are per | Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-11,13-16,18 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16 and 18-19</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | 0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | = : : | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received in A | pplication No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio | | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of I | nformal Patent Application | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/22/2007 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16 and 18-19 are pending. Claims 2, 7, 12, and 17 have been canceled. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16 and 18-19 have been examined. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16 and 18-19 have been rejected.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 18-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maguire in view of Kruger et al (U.S. Pub. 2003/0063702 A1) and Chow et al (U.S. Pub. 2003/0083827).

2. As per claim 1, Maguire teaches a modular method of modeling a power plant, the power plant comprising a plurality of major components including at least one of a gas turbine, a heat recovery steam generator, a steam turbine, and a condenser/cooling tower (col. 14, lines 17-22), said method comprising:

selecting a major component module model from a library of component module models for each major component of the power plant, each major component module representing a power plant major component of a unique configuration (col. 14, lines 13-17);

inputting initial model information into a database for the selected modules, the initial model information including at least one of operating parameters, design data, convergence criteria, and a maximum number of passes (col. 5, lines 31-34);

running the modular model by running each selected module and enabling data exchange between the selected modules (col. 9, lines 20-24).

generating a result that indicates the performance of the major components of the power plant (col. 3, lines 36-39);

however, Maguire does not teach:

running each selected module comprises running the selected modules successively until interface conditions converge; and

inputting the initial model information into a spreadsheet associated with each selected module.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,991

Art Unit: 2128

Kruger teaches inputting initial model information comprises inputting initial model information into a spreadsheet (paragraph 0122).

Chow teaches running each selected module comprises running the selected modules successively until interface conditions converge (p. 2 paragraph 0021-0022).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Maguire, Chow, and Kruger. Kruger's and Chow's teachings would have facilitated information to be easily added to selected graphs generated by the modeling/simulation program (Kruger, paragraph 0122) and reduced the time and effort to analyze power plan configurations as desired (Chow, p. 1 paragraph 0007).

- As per claim 3, Maguire teaches running the modular model comprises running the
 modular model by running each selected module in succession and passing the
 results from a module to the next module in succession (col. 9, lines 20-24).
- As per claim 4, Maguire teaches running each selected module in succession comprises running each selected module in a predetermined order (col. 9, lines 20-24).
- 5. As per claim 5, Maguire teaches creating a library of major component module models (col. 14, lines 13-15. In these lines, Maguire teaches providing a list or library of components, which implies that a library has been created).
- 6. As per claim 6, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 1. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.

- 7. As per claim 8, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 3. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 8. As per claim 9, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 4. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 9. As per claim 10, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 5. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 10. As per claim 11, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 1. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 11. As per claim 13, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 3. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 12. As per claim 14, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 4. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 13. As per claim 15, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 5. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 14. As per claim 16, Maguire teaches a power plant modular modeling system comprising a database operationally coupled to computer, said database comprising a library of power plant major component module models, each major component

Application/Control Number: 10/766,991

Art Unit: 2128

module representing a power plant major component of a unique configuration (col.

2, lines 61-63; col. 5, lines 16-27; col. 14, lines 13-15), said computer configured to: create a power plant model by selecting a major component module model from the library of component module models for each major component of the power plant;

link the selected modules together to enable data exchange between modules; receive initial model information from a user for the selected modules, the initial model information including at least one of operating parameters, design data, convergence criteria, and a maximum number of passes; and

run the modular model by running each selected module including exchanging data between the selected modules.

The limitations above have already been discussed in claim 1. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons. However, Maguire does not teach: running each selected module comprises running the selected modules successively until interface conditions converge; and

inputting the initial model information into a spreadsheet associated with each selected module.

Kruger teaches inputting initial model information comprises inputting initial model information into a spreadsheet (paragraph 0122).

Chow teaches running each selected module comprises running the selected modules successively until interface conditions converge (p. 2 paragraph 0021-0022).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Maguire, Chow, and Kruger. Kruger's and Chow's teachings would have facilitated information to be easily added to selected graphs generated by the

Application/Control Number: 10/766,991

Art Unit: 2128

modeling/simulation program (Kruger, paragraph 0122) and reduced the time and effort to analyze power plan configurations as desired (Chow, p. 1 paragraph 0007).

- 15. As per claim 18, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 3. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.
- 16. As per claim 19, these limitations have already been discussed in claim 4. They are, therefore, rejected for the same reasons.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cuong V. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-8572. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah, can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CVL

FRED FERRIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER 2100